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There is a lot of excitement about Data Science and health

- Artificial intelligence, predictive analytics, precision medicine are all hot areas with huge potential
- A wealth of data is now available in every area of public health and medicine, from new machines and assays, smart phones, smart watches ....
- Already, there have been good successes in data science in pathology, radiology, and other diagnostic specialties.
For Statisticians: 15 minutes of fame

- 2009: “I keep saying the sexy job in the next ten years will be statisticians.” Hal Varian, Chief Economist Google
- 2021 (??; we need a new quote)
Some obstacles to this success

- **Data siloing** is a problem. In the US health care system researchers tend not to share data openly. Access to large, representative datasets is essential for this work.

- **The bar is higher in health.** There is more more at stake in the health area than in say a recommendation system for movies. Errors are much more costly.

- The public may be **less tolerant** of data science/machine errors than human errors (analogous to self-driving cars).

- **NEW for 2020-2021!** Building trust in (data) science and communicating its results are, sadly, much harder than previously thought.
High level comments about Data Science, Statistics and Machine learning

- Data Science and Statistics involve **much more** than simply running a **machine learning algorithm** on data
- For example:
  - What is the question of interest? How can I collect data or run an experiment to address this question?
  - What inferences can be drawn from the data?
  - What action should I take as a result of what I’ve learned?
  - Do I need to worry about bias, confounding, generalizability, concept drift ...?
- → **Statistical concepts and training are essential**
Who wants to become a data scientist?

Who wants to learn programming?

Who wants to learn statistics?
Important points for data science applications in health

• Models should be kept as **simple as possible**, as they are easier to understand. *And we can more easily anticipate how/when they might break.*

• **Uncertainty quantification** is essential. We must build trust in our models.

• **Algorithmic fairness** is important

• Example of a key unsolved problem: in classification, with high dimensional features, how can we arrange for our classifier to sometimes “**abstain**”? (because it is extrapolating).

• Estimation of **heterogeneous treatment effects** (**HTE**), eg for personalized medicine, is a very important area in need of research. A simple unsolved problem: how can we do internal cross-validation of a model for HTE?
Details matter!

Q: *Have you tried method X?*
A: I tried that last year and it didn’t work very well!

Q: *What do you mean? What exactly did you try? How did you measure performance?*
A: I can’t remember.
General tips

• Try a number of methods and use **internal validation** or **cross-validation** to tune and compare models (our “lab” is the computer- experiments are quick and free).

• Be **systematic** when you run methods and make comparisons: compare methods on the same training and test sets.

• Keep **carefully documented scripts** and data archives (where practical).

• Your work should be **reproducible** by you and others, even a few years from now!
In Praise of Simplicity

‘Simplicity is the ultimate sophistication’ — Leonardo Da Vinci

- Many times I have been asked to review a data analysis by a biology postdoc or a company employee. Almost every time, they are unnecessarily complicated. Multiple steps, each one poorly justified.

- Why? I think we all like to justify— internally and externally— our advanced degrees. And then there’s the “hit everything with deep learning” problem

- Suggestion: Always try simple methods first. Move on to more complex methods, only if necessary
The Supervising Learning Paradigm

Training Data  Fitting  Prediction

Traditional statistics: domain experts work for 10 years to learn good features; they bring the statistician a small clean dataset

Today’s approach: we start with a large dataset with many features, and use a machine learning algorithm to find the as good ones. A huge change.
Many problems involve \textbf{supervised learning}: building models from data for predicting an outcome using a collection of input features.

Big data vary in \textit{shape}. These call for different approaches.

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{Wide Data} \\
  \hspace{1cm} Thousands / Millions of Variables \\
  \hspace{1cm} Hundreds of Samples \\
  \smallskip
  \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Lasso \& Elastic Net}
  \smallskip
  We have too many variables; prone to overfitting.
  Lasso fits linear models to the data that are \textit{sparse} in the variables. \\
  Does automatic variable selection.
  \smallskip
  \item \textbf{Tall Data} \\
  \hspace{1cm} Tens / Hundreds of Variables \\
  \hspace{1cm} Thousands / Tens of Thousands of Samples \\
  \smallskip
  \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Random Forests \& Gradient Boosting}
  \smallskip
  Sometimes simple models (linear) don’t suffice.
  We have enough samples to fit nonlinear models with many interactions, and not too many variables.
  A Random Forest is an automatic and powerful way to do this.
\end{itemize}
The **Lasso** is an estimator defined by the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{\beta_0, \beta} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j} x_{ij} \beta_j)^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \sum |\beta_j| \leq s$$

- Penalty $\Rightarrow$ sparsity (feature selection)
- Convex problem (good for computation and theory)
The glmnet package in R

- Our lab has written a open-source R language package called **glmnet** for fitting lasso models. Numerics in **FORTRAN(!)**
- Many clever computational tricks were used to achieve it’s impressive speed.
- 3.5 million downloads as of July 2021
- lasso software also available in Python (e.g. scikit.learn)
Features of the current version (glmnet 4.1)

- Gaussian, binomial, multinomial, poisson and user-defined “family” objects
- grouped lasso for multi-response Gaussian family
- support for sparse matrices
- feature filtering within cross-validation
- full treatment of survival analysis: “start/stop”, TD covariates, interval censoring, etc.
One of my favorite collaborators is a big fan of the lasso

Livia Eberlin

- Livia uses DESI mass-spec and other tools to diagnose cancer. We have written many papers together, applying the lasso to her problems.
- She won a MacArthur “genius” award in 2018
- Livia moved from UT Austin to Baylor College of Medicine a few months ago, and collaborates with Oncologists at MD Anderson
Beyond Glmnet

SNPnet: Lasso and elastic net for GWAS: Estimation of Polygenic risk scores

- with current software (e.g. glmnet), lasso and elastic net cannot be applied to data of size say 500K (patients) by 800K (SNPs)
- we have developed a new approach using the idea of strong screening rules (Tibs et al JRSSB 2012), that successfully carries out this computation in hours (exact, within machine precision)
- Joint work with PhD students Junyang Qian, Yosuke Tanigawa, Trevor Hastie and the Manny Rivas group at Stanford DBDS
- “A Fast and Flexible Algorithm for Solving the Lasso in Large-scale and Ultrahigh-dimensional Problems”, Qian et al bioRxiv 2019
Strong rules: general idea

- Lasso computes solutions over a path of tuning parameter values $\lambda$, from sparse to dense; $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{max} > \lambda_2, > \lambda_3, \cdots > \lambda_K$

- Having solved the problem for some $\lambda_k$, can make a very good guess as to which subset of predictors might be active at the next value $\lambda_{k+1}$

- We check for violations of the KKT conditions at each step (these are rare), so resulting strategy is not an approximation and delivers the set of exact solutions.
Strong Rules; more details

For lasso fit, with *active set* \( \mathcal{A} \):

\[
\left| \langle x_j, y - X\hat{\beta}(\lambda) \rangle \right| = \lambda \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{A}
\]

\[
\leq \lambda \quad \forall j \notin \mathcal{A}
\]

So variables *nearly in* \( \mathcal{A} \) will have inner-products with the residuals *nearly* equal to \( \lambda \).

Suppose fit at \( \lambda_\ell \) is \( X\hat{\beta}(\lambda_\ell) \), and we want to compute the fit at \( \lambda_{\ell+1} < \lambda_\ell \).

Strong rules gamble on the set

\[
\mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} = \left\{ j : |\langle x_j, y - X\hat{\beta}(\lambda_\ell) \rangle| > \lambda_{\ell+1} - (\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell+1}) \right\}
\]

This strategy is used by **GLMNET**: it screens at every \( \lambda \) step, and after convergence, checks if any violations. Mostly \( \mathcal{A}_{\ell+1} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\ell+1} \).

* Tibshirani, Bien, Friedman, Hastie, Simon, Taylor, Ryan Tibshirani (JRSSB 2012)
Strong rules inspired by El Ghaoui, Viallon and Rabbani (2010)
Sequential DPP due to Wang, Lin, Gong, Wonka and Ye (2013)
SNPnet

1. Initialize active variables $A_0 = \text{empty set}$, $\lambda = \lambda_{max}$

2. for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$
   
   - **Screening**: At current $\lambda_{max}$, form the strong set $S_k = A_k \cup E_k$ where $A_k$ is set of $M$ variables with largest inner products with the residual and $E_k$ are the ever active variables
   
   - **Fitting**: Solve the lasso using just the strong set of predictors $S_k$
   
   - **Checking**: find the smallest $\lambda_{k+1}$ so that KKT conditions are satisfied (ie. no predictors have been omitted that should be present)

Typically $M = 1000$; Screening and Checking require the full dataset, and are done efficiently using memory-mapped I/O. (bigmemory R package)
“SNPnet” in pictures

Figure 1: The lasso coefficient profile that shows the progression of the BASIL algorithm. The previously finished part of the path is colored grey, the newly completed and verified is in green, and the part that is newly computed but failed the verification is colored red.
GWAS example

- Large cohort of 500K British adults (Bycroft et al 2018)
- Each individual genotyped at 805K locations (AA, Aa, aa or NA)
- 100s of phenotypes measured on each subject
- We looked at white British subset of 337K, and illustrate with height phenotype
- Divided the data 60% training, 20% validation, 20% test.
- Computation took a few hours (128GB memory and 16 cores)

Package SNPNET available on Github (link on Hastie’s website, and to 2019 report)
Figure 4: Variance introduced by refitting such large models may be larger than the reduction in bias.

Lasso fit to height

R^2

Lambda Index

Lasso (train)
Lasso (val)
ReLasso (train)
ReLasso (val)
The Elephant in the Room: DEEP LEARNING

Will it eat the lasso and other statistical models?
Neural network diagram with a single hidden layer. The hidden layer derives transformations of the inputs — nonlinear transformations of linear combinations — which are then used to model the output.
What has changed since the invention of Neural nets?

- Much bigger training sets and faster computation (especially GPUs)
- Many clever ideas: convolution filters, stochastic gradient descent, input distortion ...
- Use of **multiple layers** (the “Deep” part): Tommy Poggio says that the universal approximation theorems for single layer NNs in the 1980s **set the field back 30 years**
- **Confession:** I was Geoff Hinton’s colleague at Univ. of Toronto (1985-1998) and didn’t appreciate the potential of Neural Networks!
What makes Deep Nets so powerful

(and challenging to analyze!)

It’s not one “mathematical model” but a **customizable framework**—a set of **engineering tools** that can exploit the special aspects of the problem (weight-sharing, convolution, recurrent NNs ...)

Will Deep Nets eat the lasso and other statistical models?

Deep Nets are especially powerful when the features have some spatial or temporal organization (signals, images), and SNR is high.

But they may not be a good approach when

- we have moderate #obs or wide data ( #obs < #features),
- SNR is low, or
- interpretability is important

It’s difficult to find examples where Deep Nets beat lasso or boosting in low SNR settings, with “generic” features.
LassoNet: “If you can’t beat’em ....

Feature sparse neural networks
Lemhadri, Ruan, Abraham, Tibshirani, JMLR 2021

LassoNet in two minutes
Click for video
LassoNet in detail

• We assume the model

\[ y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_j x_{ij} \beta_j + \sum_{k=1}^{K} [\alpha_k + \gamma_k \cdot f(\theta_k^T x_i)] + \epsilon_i \quad (1) \]

with \( \epsilon \sim (0, \sigma^2) \). Here \( f \) is a monotone, nonlinear function such as a sigmoid or rectified linear unit, and each \( \theta_k = (\theta_{1k}, \ldots \theta_{pk}) \) is a \( p \)-vector.

• Our objective is to minimize

\[
J(\beta, \Theta, \alpha, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 + \lambda \sum_j |\beta_j| + \bar{\lambda} \sum_{jk} |\theta_{jk}|
\]

subject to \(|\theta_{jk}| \leq M \cdot |\beta_j| \; \forall j, k. \quad \leftarrow \text{secret sauce} \]
In a nutshell

“Features can participate in the hidden layer only if they have non-zero main effects”

- A neural network is a complex model, but is made simpler if the model is a function of only a subset of the features
- Eg a protein-based blood test for a disease: if only 10 proteins out of 1000 need to be measured, then the complicated neural net mapping of these 10 proteins is still acceptable to scientists
Computational strategy

- We seek the solution over a path of $\lambda$ values, using current solutions as warm starts. We use a projected proximal gradient procedure at each $\lambda$
- Unlike Lasso, objective is \textbf{non-convex}. Makes optimization much harder. Eg the starting values for $(\beta, \theta)$ matter!
- In lasso (our glmnet package), we compute a path of solutions from sparse ($\lambda$ large) to dense ($\lambda$ small)
- This worked badly for LassoNet; we tried many tricks; finally we tried going from Dense to Sparse. \textbf{Worked!}
Examples

Differentiating 5s from 6s

ISOLET (26 classes); LassoNet vs other feature selection methods; two different classifiers
Now working with **Benjamin Haibe-Kains**, of the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, his students **Michal Kazmierski**, and **Petr Smirnov** and former stanford student **Feng Ruan**:

*Applying LassoNet to head & neck cancer images and clinical features*
Just published: 2nd edition!

An Introduction to Statistical Learning
with Applications in R
Second Edition

James, Witten, Hastie, Tibshirani.

New chapters on Deep Learning, Survival analysis, Multiple testing
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